

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,253	LEE, EUN SEO	
	Examiner Tadesse Hailu	Art Unit 2173	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment received on May 4, 2005.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-22.
3. The drawings filed on 06 August 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

1. Claims 1 through 22 are allowed.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: as indicated in the previous office action, the prior art of records fail to teach the claimed invention.

Similar to present invention Clark (5,848,294), Clark et al (5,835,090), Clark (5,949,437), and Larson et al (6,297,817) discloses a multi-monitor functionality computer system using VGA compatible monitors and display adapters.

The main difference between the invention and Clark (5,848,294), for example, lies on implementing the installed VGA chips. Clark has to deactivate currently active chip in order to draw on the other chip. Thus, drawing on each chip is a turn-by-turn process.

In the present invention, on the other hand, outputting data from the processor to both VGA chips is possible without deactivating any one of the chips.

The above closely related prior art also fails to teach "the processor displays the processed result of a main program presently used by a user through the first VGA and the first monitor, processes information, which is different from the contents displayed on the first monitor and input from the outside, and displays the information through the second VGA and the second monitor, and in case of selecting one of user interfaces displayed through the first or second monitors, displays the processed result on the other monitor" as recited in independent claim 1.

The prior art also fails to teach "a second monitor formed integrally with the first monitor, for receiving and displaying anyone of the first information data being displayed on the

first monitor, a second information data and an ad data which are provided with the computer system through a network" as recited in independent claim 14.

The prior art also fails to teach "displaying results processed by a program used by a user on the first monitor and an information provided by a server on the second monitor, when the user connects to the network using the computer" as recited in independent claim 16.

Accordingly, the claims that depend on the independent claims 1, 14 and 16 are also allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

CONCLUSION

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Tadesse Hailu, whose telephone number is (571) 272-4051. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:30 – 7:00 ET. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at (571) 272-4048 Art Unit 2173.

4. An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Examiner Tadesse Hailu
Art Unit 2173
6/29/05

